arXiv:1402.2049v1 [math.AG] 10 Feb 2014

A PROOF OF THE KAWAMATA-MORRISON CONE CONJECTURE FOR HOLOMORPHIC SYMPLECTIC VARIETIES OF $K3^{[n]}$ OR GENERALIZED KUMMER DEFORMATION TYPE

EYAL MARKMAN AND KOTA YOSHIOKA

Abstract. We prove a version of the Kawamata-Morrison ample cone conjecture for projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds deformation equivalent to either the Hilbert scheme of n points on a $K3$ surface, or a generalized Kummer variety.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Proof of the cone conjecture 3
3. A rational polyhedral cone intersects only finitely many walls 6
References 8

1. Introduction

An *irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold* is a simply connected compact Kähler manifold X, such that $H^0(X, \wedge^2 T^*X)$ is one-dimensional, spanned by an everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form. There is a natural integral non-degenerate symmetric bilinear pairing on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, of signature $(3, b_2(X)-3)$, called the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki pairing [Be]. It is positive on Kähler classes and indivisible in the sense that $\gcd\{(x, y) \ : \ x, y \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\} = 1$. Let X be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. Set $\Lambda := H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then Λ is a lattice of signature $(1, \rho - 1)$, for a positive integer ρ. In particular, the rational vector space $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is self-dual with respect to the restriction of the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki pairing.

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the positive cone. $\mathcal{C}$ is the connected component of the cone $\{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \ : (\lambda, \lambda) > 0\}$, which contains the ample cone of X. Denote the ample cone of X by $\mathrm{Amp}_X \subset \mathcal{C}$. Let Nef_X be the closure of Amp_X in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\mathrm{Nef}_X^* \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the dual cone. A non-zero class λ of Nef_X^* is *extremal* if every decomposition $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, with $\lambda_i \in \mathrm{Nef}_X^*$, consists of λ_i which are scalar multiples of λ. The Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki pairing identifies $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ with $H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and the dual cone Nef_X^* gets identified with the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}_1(X)$. Under this identification, extremal classes in the above sense generate extremal rays of the Mori cone.

Date: February 11, 2014.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let Y be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold birational to X. The set $\{(e,e) \ : \ e$ is an integral, primitive, and extremal class in $\mathrm{Nef}_Y^*\}$ is bounded below by a constant, which depends only on the birational class of X.*

We say that X is of $K3^{[n]}$*-type*, if X is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ of length n subschemes of a $K3$ surface S. The conjecture is known to hold if X is of $K3^{[n]}$-type, by independent results of Mongardi [Mon, Theorem 1.3] and Bayer-Hassett-Tschinkel [BHT]. These two papers rely on the proof of the analogous result for moduli spaces of sheaves on $K3$ surfaces, by Bayer and Macri [BM, Theorem 12.1]. The conjecture is also known when X is of generalized Kummer type, that is, X is deformation equivalent to one of the generalized Kummer varieties associated to an abelian surface, by the work of the second author [Y] and the results of Mongardi [Mon] and Bayer-Hassett-Tschinkel [BHT].

Let Nef_X^+ be the convex hull of $\mathrm{Nef}_X \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. We have the inclusions

$$\mathrm{Amp}_X \subset \mathrm{Nef}_X^+ \subset \mathrm{Nef}_X \, .$$

Definition 1.2. A *rational polyhedral cone* in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a closed convex cone spanned by a finite set of (integral) classes of Λ.

The main result of this note is the following version of the Kawamata-Morrison ample cone conjecture.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 2.9 below)**.** *Assume that Conjecture 1.1 holds for X. Then there exists a rational polyhedral cone $D \subset \mathrm{Nef}_X^+$, which is a fundamental domain for the action of the automorphism group of X on Nef_X^+.*

Remark 1.4. Let Eff_X be the cone generated by effective divisor classes. The Kawamata-Morrison cone conjecture is often stated in terms of the cone $\mathrm{Nef}_X^e := \mathrm{Nef}_X \cap \mathrm{Eff}_X$ instead of the cone Nef_X^+ [Ka, Mor, T]. The inclusion $\mathrm{Nef}_X^e \subset \mathrm{Nef}_X^+$ follows from Bouksom's divisorial Zariski decomposition for all irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds [Bou, Theorem 4.3]. The equality $\mathrm{Nef}_X^e = \mathrm{Nef}_X^+$ is known when X is of $K3^{[n]}$-type and follows from the statement that integral isotropic nef classes are effective [Ma2, Cor. 1.6].

A related result is the following.

Corollary 1.5 (Corollary 2.5 below)**.** *Assume that Conjecture 1.1 holds for X. Then the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds in the birational class of X is finite.*

A version of Theorem 1.3 was proven independently by Amerik and Verbitsky for irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, not necessarily projective [AV].

Acknowledgements: We thank Artie Prendergast-Smith for pointing out to us that the main Theorem 2.9 follows from Corollary 2.7. We thank Eduard Looijenga for the helpful reference to his work [L]. The work of Eyal Markman was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#245840), and by NSA grant H98230-13-1-0239. The work of Kota Yoshioka was partially supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (No. 22340010), JSPS.

2. Proof of the cone conjecture

Let X be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. Set $\Lambda := H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the positive cone and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ its closure in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. A divisor class D is *movable*, if the base locus of the linear system $|D|$ has codimension ≥ 2 in X. The *movable cone* $\mathcal{MV}_X \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is the cone generated by movable divisor classes. Let $\mathcal{MV}_X^+$ be the convex hull[1] of $\overline{\mathcal{MV}}_X \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{MV}}_X$ is the closure of the movable cone in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ be the group of birational self maps of X. There exists a rational polyhedral cone

$$\Pi \subset \mathcal{MV}_X^+, \tag{2.1}$$

which is a fundamental domain for the action of $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ on $\mathcal{MV}_X^+$, by [Ma1, Theorem 6.25].

Assume that Conjecture 1.1 holds for X. Let $\Sigma \subset \Lambda$ be the set

$$\Sigma := \left\{ f_*(e) \ : \ \begin{array}{l} e \in \mathrm{Nef}_Y^* \text{ is integral, primitive, and extremal, and} \\ f : Y \dashrightarrow X \text{ is a birational map} \end{array} \right\},$$

where Y is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. The homomorphism $f_* : H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ induced by a birational map is a Hodge isometry, by [O'G, Prop. 1.6.2]. Hence, the set $\{(e,e) \ : \ e \in \Sigma\}$ is bounded, by our assumption. Note that Σ is $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$-invariant, by definition.

Let $\mathcal{MV}_X^0$ be the interior of the movable cone. Set $F := \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Sigma} \mathcal{MV}_X^0 \cap \lambda^\perp$ and $\mathcal{BA}_X := \mathcal{MV}_X^0 \setminus F$. We will refer to $\mathcal{BA}_X$ as the *birational ample cone* in view of the following proposition. Let Y be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and $f : Y \dashrightarrow X$ a birational map.

Proposition 2.1 ([HT, Prop. 17] and [BHT, Prop. 3])**.** *The image $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{BA}_X$. Furthermore, every connected component of $\mathcal{BA}_X$ is of this form.*

Proof. An isomorphism $\psi : H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be a *parallel transport operator*, if there exists a smooth and proper family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ over an analytic space B, with Kähler fibers, points $b_1, b_2 \in B$, isomorphisms $Y \cong \mathcal{X}_{b_1}$ and $X \cong \mathcal{X}_{b_2}$, and a continuous path γ from b_1 to b_2, such that parallel transport along γ in the local system $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ induces the homomorphism ψ.

The Hodge isometry $f_* : H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is a parallel transport operator, by work of Huybrechts [Hu, Cor. 2.7] (see also [Ma1, Theorem 3.1]). Let Z be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and $g : Z \dashrightarrow X$ a birational map. The composition $\phi := f_*^{-1} \circ g_* : H^2(Z,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ is thus a parallel transport operator. All extremal rays of Nef_X^* are generated by classes of rational curves, by the Cone Theorem [HT, Prop. 11]. Let $e \in \mathrm{Nef}_Z^*$ be the class of a rational curve generating an extremal ray. Then, either $\phi(e)$ or $-\phi(e)$ is the class of an effective

[1]When X is of $K3^{[n]}$-type or of generalized Kummer type, then $\mathcal{MV}_X^+ = \mathcal{MV}_X$, by [HT, Cor. 19] and [Mat, Cor. 1.1].

1-cycle, by [BHT, Prop. 3]. We conclude that the intersection $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y) \cap g_*(e)^\perp$ is empty. Hence, $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$ is contained in $\mathcal{BA}_X$.

Clearly, $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{BA}_X$ and $f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y)$ is a closed subset of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. The cone $f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y)$ is locally rational polyhedral in a neighborhood of any class of $\mathcal{MV}^0_X$, by [HT, Prop. 17 and Cor. 19]. Consequently, we get the equality $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y) = f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y) \cap \mathcal{BA}_X$, by definition of $\mathcal{BA}_X$. Hence, $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$ is an open and closed subset of $\mathcal{BA}_X$.

The union of $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$, as f varies over all birational maps from all irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds birational to X, is a dense open subset of $\mathcal{MV}_X$, by [HT, Prop. 17 and Cor. 19]. Hence, every connected component of $\mathcal{BA}_X$ has the form in the statement. □

Let N be a positive integer. Let $\Pi \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a rational polyhedral cone. The following elementary statement will be proven in section 3 Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 2.2. *The set* $\{\lambda \in \Lambda \ : (\lambda,\lambda) > -N$ *and* $\lambda^\perp \cap \Pi \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset\}$ *is finite.*

We conclude that the set

$$\{\lambda \in \Sigma \ : \ \lambda^\perp \cap \Pi \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset\} \tag{2.2}$$

is finite, by the above proposition and the assumption that Conjecture 1.1 holds for X.

The set (2.2) divides the fundamental domain Π, given in (2.1), into a finite union of closed rational polyhedral subcones

$$\Pi_i, \quad i \in I, \tag{2.3}$$

each with a non-empty interior.

Let Π_i be one of the subcones in (2.3). Let $f : Y \dashrightarrow X$ be a birational map as above.

Lemma 2.3. *If* g *is an element of* $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$*, such that* $g(\Pi_i)$ *intersects the interior* $f_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$ *of* $f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y)$*, then* $g(\Pi_i) = f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y) \cap g(\Pi)$.

Proof. Set $h := g^{-1} \circ f$ and assume that the interior of $h_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y) \cap \Pi_i$ is non-empty. We need to prove the equality $\Pi_i = h_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y) \cap \Pi$. Denote by Π_i^0 the interior of Π_i. It suffices to prove the equality

$$\Pi_i^0 = h_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y) \cap \Pi^0.$$

The intersection $h_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y) \cap \Pi^0$ is connected, since both $h_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y)$ and Π^0 are convex cones. Furthermore, the latter intersection is disjoint from the hyperplane $\lambda^\perp$, for every $\lambda \in \Sigma$, by Proposition 2.1. Hence, $h_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y) \cap \Pi^0$ is contained in Π_i^0. The inclusion $\Pi_i^0 \subset h_*(\mathrm{Amp}_Y) \cap \Pi^0$ follows immediately from Proposition 2.1. □

Let

$$I_f$$

be the subset of I, consisting of indices i admitting an element $g_i \in \mathrm{Bir}(X)$, such that $g_i(\Pi_i)$ is contained in $f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y)$. The set I_f is non-empty, since Π is a fundamental

domain for the $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$-action on $\mathcal{MV}_X^+$ and the interior of $f_*(\mathrm{Nef}_Y)$ is contained in $\mathcal{MV}_X^+$.

Let $f_j : Y_j \dashrightarrow X$ be a birational map, with Y_j an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold, $j = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.4. (1) *Y_1 is isomorphic to Y_2, if and only if $I_{f_1} = I_{f_2}$.*
(2) *If the intersection $I_{f_1} \cap I_{f_2}$ is nonempty, then $I_{f_1} = I_{f_2}$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\phi : Y_1 \to Y_2$ be an isomorphism. Let g be an element of $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ and Π_i a subcone of Π, among those given in (2.3). Set $\psi := f_2 \phi f_1^{-1}$. Then $\psi(f_1(\mathrm{Nef}_{Y_1})) = f_2(\mathrm{Nef}_{Y_2})$. Thus, $g(\Pi_i)$ is contained in $f_1(\mathrm{Nef}_{Y_1})$, if and only if $(\psi g)(\Pi_i)$ is contained in $f_2(\mathrm{Nef}_{Y_2})$. Consequently, Π_i belongs to I_{f_1}, if and only if it belongs to I_{f_2}.

Assume that $I_{f_1} = I_{f_2}$. Then there exists a subcone Π_i of Π, among those given in (2.3), and elements h_j in $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$, $j = 1, 2$, such that $h_j(\Pi_i)$ is contained in $f_j(\mathrm{Nef}_{Y_j})$. Then $f_j^{-1} h_j$ maps Π_i into Nef_{Y_j}, and so $f_2^{-1} h_2 h_1^{-1} f_1 : Y_1 \to Y_2$ maps some ample class to an ample class, and is thus an isomorphism.

(2) Follows from the proof of part (1). □

Given an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold Y birational to X, set

$$I_Y := I_f,$$

where $f : Y \dashrightarrow X$ is a birational map. I_Y is independent of the choice of f, by Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. *The set $\mathfrak{B}_X$, of isomorphism classes of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds in the birational class of X, is finite.*

Proof. The set I is finite. The map $Y \mapsto I_Y$ induces a bijection between the set $\mathfrak{B}_X$ and subsets in the partition $I = \bigcup_{Y \in \mathfrak{B}_X} I_Y$ of I, by Lemma 2.4. □

Lemma 2.6. *Given $i \in I$, the set $\{g \in \mathrm{Bir}(X) \ : \ g(\Pi_i) \subset \mathrm{Nef}_X\}$ is a left $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-coset.*

Proof. Assume that $g(\Pi_i)$ and $h(\Pi_i)$ are both contained in Nef_X and let α be a class in the interior of Π_i. Then the classes $g(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$ are ample and gh^{-1} maps the ample class $h(\alpha)$ to an ample class and is thus an automorphism. □

Choose an element g_i in the left $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-coset associated to Π_i in Lemma 2.6, for each $i \in I_X$. Let Nef_X^+ be the convex hull of $\mathrm{Nef}_X \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Corollary 2.7. Nef_X^+ *is the union of* $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$*-translates of finitely many rational polyhedral subcones $g_i(\Pi_i)$, $i \in I_X$, of* Nef_X^+.

Proof. Nef_X^+ is contained in $\mathcal{MV}_X^+$ and the latter is a union of $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$-translates of the Π_i's. Nef_X^+ is equal to the union of the translates of the Π_i intersecting its interior, by Lemma 2.3. These translates are the union of the $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-translates of $g_i(\Pi_i)$, $i \in I_X$, by Lemma 2.6. The set I_X is finite, being a subset of the finite set I in Equation (2.3). □

Let G be the image of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ in the isometry group of Λ. Let y be a rational ample class in Amp_X, whose stabilizer subgroup in G is trivial. Consider the following *Dirichlet domain*

$$D_y := \{x \in \mathrm{Nef}_X \ : \ (x,y) \leq (x,g(y)), \text{ for all } g \in G\}. \tag{2.4}$$

The following Lemma was proven by Totaro in [T, Lemma 2.2]. Totaro used techniques of hyperbolic geometry. Another approach to the proof of the Lemma can be found in Looijenga's work [L, Application 4.15].

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose we are given a finite set of rational polyhedral cones in* Nef_X^+*, such that* Nef_X^+ *is the union of their* G*-translates. Let* y *be a rational point in the interior of one of these rational polyhedral cones, whose stabilizer in* G *is trivial. Then the Dirichlet domain* D_y *given above is rational polyhedral, it is contained in* Nef_X^+*, and* $\mathrm{Nef}_X^+ = \bigcup_{g\in G} g(D_y)$.

Theorem 2.9. *The Dirichlet domain* D_y*, given in Equation (2.4), is a rational polyhedral cone, which is a fundamental domain for the action of* G *on* Nef_X^+*. In particular,* D_y *is contained in* Nef_X^+.

Proof. The statement follows from Corollary 2.7, by Lemma 2.8. □

3. A rational polyhedral cone intersects only finitely many walls

We prove Proposition 2.2 in this section. Let Λ be a lattice of signature $(1, n-1)$. We abbreviate (x,x) by (x^2). Then the cone

$$\{x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \mid (x^2) > 0\}$$

has two connected components. We take $h \in \Lambda$ with $(h^2) > 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}^+ := \{x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \mid (x^2) > 0, (x,h) > 0\}$$

is a connected component. For $x \in \mathcal{C}^+$, we have a decomposition $x = ah + \xi$, $(\xi, h) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *For* $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$ *with* $x_1 \neq 0$ *and* $x_2 \neq 0$*,* $(x_1,x_2) > 0$ *unless* $(x_1^2) = 0$ *and* $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}x_1$.

Proof. We write $x_1 = a_1 h + \xi_1$ and $x_2 = a_2 h + \xi_2$, where $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in h^\perp$. Then the Schwarz inequality imiplies that $|(\xi_1,\xi_2)| \leq \sqrt{-(\xi_1^2)}\sqrt{-(\xi_2^2)}$. Since $(x_1^2), (x_2^2) \geq 0$, $a_1\sqrt{(h^2)} \geq \sqrt{-(\xi_1^2)}$ and $a_2\sqrt{(h^2)} \geq \sqrt{-(\xi_2^2)}$. Hence we have $(x_1,x_2) = a_1a_2(h^2) + (\xi_1,\xi_2) \geq 0$. Moreover if the equality holds, then $a_1\sqrt{(h^2)} = \sqrt{-(\xi_1^2)}$, $a_2\sqrt{(h^2)} = \sqrt{-(\xi_2^2)}$ and $-(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \sqrt{-(\xi_1^2)}\sqrt{-(\xi_2^2)}$. Hence $(x_1^2) = (x_2^2) = 0$. If $\xi_1 = 0$, then $(x_1^2) = 0$ implies that $x_1 = 0$. Hence $\xi_1 \neq 0$. We also have $\xi_2 \neq 0$. Then $\xi_1 = y\xi_2$, $y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Since $a_1^2(h^2) = -y^2(\xi_2^2)$ and $a_2^2(h^2) = -(\xi_2^2)$, we have $a_1 = ya_2$, which implies that $x_1 = yx_2$. □

Assume that $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$ and $\mathbb{R}x_1 + \mathbb{R}x_2$ is a 2-plane. Then $((x_1+x_2)^2) > 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let P be a 2-plane in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. If $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains an isotropic vector x, then*

$$\{v \in \Lambda \mid v^{\perp} \cap P \cap \mathcal{C}^{+} \neq \emptyset, (v^2) > -N\}$$

is a finite set.

Proof. We may assume that $x \in \Lambda$. If the intersection $P \cap \mathcal{C}^{+}$ is empty, we are done. Assume that the intersection is non-empty. Since $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is dense in P, we can choose $y \in P_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathcal{C}^{+}$. Since $h^{\perp}$ is negative definite, $(h, x) \neq 0$. We may assume that $(x, h) > 0$. Then $x \in \overline{\mathcal{C}^{+}}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $(x, y) > 0$. Set $z := y - \frac{(y^2)}{2(x,y)}x$. Then $(z, x) > 0$ and $(z^2) = 0$. Replacing z by mz, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we may assume that $z \in \Lambda$. An element v of Λ admits the decomposition $v = ax + bz + \xi$, with $\xi \in P^{\perp}$. If $v^{\perp} \cap P \cap \mathcal{C}^{+} \neq \emptyset$, then $ab < 0$. In that case ab is bounded, $-N < ab < 0$, since $N > -(v^2) = -ab(x, z) - (\xi^2) \geq -ab > 0$. Now, $a = \frac{(v,z)}{(x,z)}$ and $b = \frac{(v,x)}{(x,z)}$ belong to $\frac{1}{(x,z)}\mathbb{Z}$, since $v \in \Lambda$. Therefore the choice of a, b is finite. The element $(x, z)\xi$ belongs to the negative definite sublattice of Λ orthogonal to P. The choice of ξ is also finite, since $N > N + ab(x, z) > -(\xi^2) \geq 0$. Therefore our claim holds. □

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that x_1, x_2 is a linearly independent pair in $\Lambda \cap \mathcal{C}^{+}$. Then*

$$\{v \in \Lambda \mid (v, sx_1 + (1-s)x_2) = 0, \text{ for some } 0 \leq s \leq 1, (v^2) > -N\} \tag{3.1}$$

is a finite set.

Proof. We first note that $x_2 - \frac{(x_1,x_2)}{(x_1^2)}x_1 \in x_1^{\perp}$ is not zero and must thus have negative self intersection. Hence $(x_1, x_2)^2 - (x_1^2)(x_2^2) > 0$. An element v of Λ admits the decomposition $v = ax_1 + bx_2 + \xi$, with $\xi \in x_1^{\perp} \cap x_2^{\perp}$. The coefficients a, b belong to

$$\frac{1}{(x_1, x_2)^2 - (x_1^2)(x_2^2)}\mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.2}$$

since

$$\begin{pmatrix}(v, x_1)\\(v, x_2)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}(x_1^2) & (x_1, x_2)\\(x_1, x_2) & (x_2^2)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix},$$

Given s in the interval $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we have the inequality

$$(x_1, sx_1 + (1-s)x_2) = s(x_1^2) + (1-s)(x_1, x_2) \geq \min\{(x_1^2), (x_1, x_2)\} > 0.$$

The function $\lambda(s) := \frac{(x_2, sx_1+(1-s)x_2)}{(x_1, sx_1+(1-s)x_2)}$ is thus continuous on the interval $0 \leq s \leq 1$. We have the vanishing

$$(\lambda(s)x_1 - x_2, sx_1 + (1-s)x_2) = 0.$$

Now $\lambda(s)x_1 \neq x_2$ and $sx_1 + (1-s)x_2$ has positive self intersection. We conclude the inequality $((\lambda(s)x_1 - x_2)^2) < 0$. Therefore there are positive integers N_1, N_2 such that $-N_2 < ((\lambda(s)x_1 - x_2)^2) < -\frac{1}{N_1}$.

Assume that v belongs to the set (3.1). We get the vanishing

$$(ax_1 + bx_2, sx_1 + (1-s)x_2) = 0,$$

which yields $a = -b\lambda(s)$ and $((ax_1 + bx_2)^2) = ((\lambda(s)x_1 - x_2)^2)(b^2)$. Furthermore, we have

$$-N_2 b^2 \leq ((\lambda(s)x_1 - x_2)^2)b^2 \leq -\frac{b^2}{N_1}.$$

The inequalities

$$-N < (v^2) = ((ax_1 + bx_2)^2) + (\xi^2) \leq ((ax_1 + bx_2)^2)$$

yield $NN_1 > b^2$. The choice of b is thus finite, since b belongs to the discrete set in Equation (3.2). Then the choice of a is also finite, by the equality $a = -b\lambda(s)$. Since $-(\xi^2) < N + ((ax_1 + bx_2)^2) \leq N$, the choice of ξ is also finite. Therefore the claim holds. □

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that* $\Pi := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} x_i$ *is a cone in* $\overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$ *such that* $x_i \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$. *Then*

$$\{v \in \Lambda \mid (v^2) > -N, v^\perp \cap \Pi \cap \mathcal{C}^+ \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a finite set.

Proof. We may assume that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a minimal set of generators of the cone Π. Then the x_i's are pairwise linearly independent. We set $\Pi_{ij} := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} x_i + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} x_j$. Applying Lemma 3.2 or Lemma 3.3 we conclude that

$$V_{ij} := \{v \in \Lambda \mid (v^2) > -N, v^\perp \cap \Pi_{ij} \cap \mathcal{C}^+ \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a finite set. If $n = 2$ we are done. Assume that $n \geq 3$. Assume that $v \in \Lambda$ satisfies $(v^2) > -N$ and $v^\perp \cap \Pi \cap \mathcal{C}^+ \neq \emptyset$. If the cardinality $\#(v^\perp \cap \{x_1, ..., x_n\})$ is ≥ 2, then $v^\perp \cap \Pi_{ij} \cap \mathcal{C}^+ \neq \emptyset$ for some i, j. Hence $v \in \cup_{i,j} V_{ij}$. Assume that $\#(v^\perp \cap \{x_1, ..., x_n\}) \leq 1$. Set $J := \{i \ : 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } (v, x_i) \neq 0\}$. Then $\#(J) \geq 2$. The non-emptyness of $v^\perp \cap \Pi \cap \mathcal{C}^+$ implies the existence of coefficients a_i, such that $\sum_{i \in J} a_i(v, x_i) = 0$, where $a_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in J$, and $a_i > 0$ for some $i \in J$. Then $a_i(v, x_i)$ and $a_j(v, x_j)$ have different sign for some pair of indices $i, j \in J$. In particular, $(v, x_i)(v, x_j) < 0$. It follows that the intersection $v^\perp \cap \Pi_{ij} \cap \mathcal{C}^+$ is non-empty. Hence $v \in \cup_{i,j} V_{ij}$. Therefore our claim holds. □

References

[AV] Amerik, E., Verbitsky, M.: *Rational curves on hyperkähler manifolds.* Preprint arXiv:1401.0479.

[Be] Beauville, A.: *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la premiere classe de Chern est nulle.* J. Diff. Geom. 18, p. 755–782 (1983).

[BHT] Bayer, A., Hassett, B., Tschinkel, Y.: *Mori cones of holomorphic symplectic varieties of K3 type.* Preprint arXiv:1307.2291.

[BM] Bayer, A., Macri, E.: *MMP for moduli of sheaves on K3s via wall-crossing: nef and movable cones, lagrangian fibrations.* Preprint arXiv:1301.6968v3.

[Bou] Boucksom, S.: *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 37 (2004), no. 1, 45–76.

[HT] Hassett, B., Tschinkel, Y.: *Moving and ample cones of holomorphic symplectic fourfolds.* Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. 19 (2009) 1065–1080.

[Hu] Huybrechts, D.: *The Kähler cone of a compact hyperkähler manifold.* Math. Ann. 326 (2003), no. 3, 499–513.

[Ka] Kawamata, Y.: *On the cone of divisors of Calabi-Yau fiber spaces.* Internat. J. Math. 8 (1997), no. 5, 665–687.

[L] Looijenga, E.: *Discrete automorphism groups of convex cones of finite type.* Preprint arXiv:0908.0165v1.

[Ma1] Markman, E.: *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for hyperkahler manifolds.* In "Complex and Differential Geometry", W. Ebeling et. al. (eds.), Springer Proceedings in Math. 8, (2011), pp 257–323.

[Ma2] Markman, E.: *Lagrangian fibrations of holomorphic-symplectic varieties of $K3^{[n]}$-type.* Electronic preprint arXiv:1301.6584. To appear in "Algebraic and Complex Geometry - In Honor of Klaus Hulek 60th Birthday", Springer Proceedings in Math.

[Mat] Matsushita, D.: *On isotropic divisors on irreducible symplectic manifolds.* Electronic preprint arXiv:1310.0896.

[Mon] Mongardi, G.: *A note on the Kähler and Mori cones of hyperkähler manifolds.* Preprint arXiv:1307.0393.

[Mor] Morrison, D. R.: *Compactifications of moduli spaces inspired by mirror symmetry.* Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Orsay (Orsay, 1992). Astérisque No. 218 (1993), 243–271.

[O'G] O'Grady, K.: *The weight-two Hodge structure of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface.* J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), no. 4, 599–644.

[T] Torato, B.: *The cone conjecture for Calabi-Yau pairs in dimension two.* Electronic preprint arXiv:0901.3361.

[Y] Yoshioka, K.: *Bridgeland stability and the positive cone of the moduli spaces of stable objects on an abelian surface.* Preprint arXiv:1206.4838.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

E-mail address: markman@math.umass.edu

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, 657, Japan

E-mail address: yoshioka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp